

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,610	02/06/2004	Akira Yamanaka	17475US02 7768	
	7590 08/30/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			BAYARD, EMMANUEL	
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,610	YAMANAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Emmanuel Bayard	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	,			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	n)-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
·					
		•			
Attachment/c)		•			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	і вісті Арріювіюн			

Application/Control Number: 10/773,610

Art Unit: 2611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to communication filed on 6/19/07 in which claims 1-17 are pending. The applicant's amendments have been fully considered but they are moot based on the new ground of rejection therefore this case is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mui
   U.S. patent No 6,690,739 B1.

As per claims 1, 6 and 11 Mui teaches a method for equalization in a communications system, the method comprising: an equalizer is the same as the claimed (removing post cursor inter-symbol interference) (see abstract and figs. 16-18 and 22 and col.5, lines 30-65 and col.8, lines 1-35 and col.12, lines 64-67 and col.31, lines 19-60 and col.34, lines 10-50) within an encoder having FEC or forward error correction is the same as the claimed (an at <u>least one</u> error code correction code word a block code based error correction scheme <u>wherein said block code based error correction scheme is utilized in the communication system</u>) (see figs.17 and 22 and col.7, lines 59-65 and col.12, lines 1-35).

As per claims 2, 7 and 12 Mui teaches wherein said removing of post cursor inter-symbol interference comprises removing symbol

Application/Control Number: 10/773,610

Art Unit: 2611

interferences from <u>at least one</u> previous error correction code word <u>utilizing</u> <u>a decision feedback equalization filter</u> (see col.29, lines 44-45).

As per claims 3, 8 and 13 Mui teaches wherein said removing of post cursor inter-symbol interference comprises utilizing distortion filtering in said decision feedback equalization filter, for generating filtered symbols (see col.37, lines 25-27)

As per claims 4, 9 and 14 Mui inherently teaches wherein said utilizing of distortion filtering comprises inserting a matrix multiplication-based filter after a feed forward equalizer filter and a feedback filter in the communication system, for symbol interference from [[the]] symbols in <u>said at least one</u> previous error correction code word (see col.26, lines 10-55).

As per claims 5, 10 and 15 Mui inherently teaches wherein said removing of post cursor inter-symbol interference comprises adding scalar terms for each of said at least one error correction code word to a decision metric of a real part of a projection of said filtered symbols to said at least one error correction code word (see col.28, lines 15-67).

As per claim 16 Mui inherently teaches wherein said block code based error correction scheme is utilized in a modulation system of the communication system (see fig.1 element 120).

As per claim 17 Mui inherently teaches selecting a code word for said block code based error correction scheme, based on said removing of post cursor inter-symbol interference within said at least one error correction code word (see col.9, lines 35-38 and col.10, lines 55-67 and col.25, lines 47-51).

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272

Art Unit: 2611

3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571 272 3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8/27/2007

EMMANUEL BARANGIRD PRIMARPIEXAMINERET AICUMIT 2611